Case 20-10044-TPA Doc 35 Filed 08/12/20 Entered 08/12/20 08:39:25 Document Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Desc Main FILED 8/12/20 8:37 am CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT - WDPA

## CONCILIATION CONFERENCE MINUTES

## **Conciliation Conference:**

Debtor:Robert M. Osiecki

Case Number: 20-10044-TPA (Chapter 13)
Date / Time / Room: 08/04/2020 02:00 pm /Bankruptcy Courtroom
Hearing Officer: CHAPTER 13 TRUSTEE

#18 - Final Confirmation of Plan dated 2/27/2020 (NFC) Matter: Appearances: Debtor: Trustee: Winnecour / Kate / DeSimone Creditor: **Proceedings:** The Trustee requests dismissal without prejudice, and debtor(s)' counsel has Recommended Outcome: no objection to dismissal. Case Converted to Chapter 7 Case Converted to Chapter 11 3. Case Dismissed without Prejudice Case Dismissed with Prejudice 4. 5. Debtor is to inform Court within \_\_\_ Days their preference to Convert or Dismiss The plan payment/term is increased/extended to \_\_\_\_\_\_, effective 7. Plan/Motion continued to \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ at \_\_ An Amended Plan is to be served on all creditors and certificate of service filed by Objections are due on or before \_ A hearing on the Amended is set for \_\_\_\_\_ Detter cannot afferd payment increase occasioned by PNC claim

For Judge Agresti cases:

Student Loan Debt: If the pro rata or timing of the proposed plan payment on student loan debt differs in any respect to that of the unsecured debt in the case, describe such differences and reasons for disparate treatment: